

FM02

Carbon acquisition of mangrove *Kandelia obovata* trees

A.T.M. Rafiqul Hoque¹, Sahadev Sharma¹ and Akio Hagihara²

¹Graduate School of Engineering and Science, University of the Ryukyus, Japan

²Faculty of Science, University of the Ryukyus, Japan

Abstract— We have estimated carbon acquisition of mangrove *Kandelia obovata* in Mako Wetland, Japan. A 1700 m² pure *K. obovata* closed canopy stand was marked. The stand was subjected to self-thinning. The area was divided into 68 uniform plots of 5 m x 5 m each. A tree census was carried out in the 68 plots. Height (*H*, m) and stem diameter at 10% height (*D*_{0.1H}, cm) of all the trees were measured. Aboveground individual mass *w*_T (kg) was estimated based on an allometric equation $w_T = 0.0341(D_{0.1H}^2 H)^{1.03}$ established from 25 trees data. Among 25 trees, all the roots including fine roots of five trees were collected and belowground mass was estimated by the summation. Tree density was 13588 ha⁻¹; aboveground carbon was calculated as 48.47 Mg C ha⁻¹; belowground carbon was calculated as 22.70 Mg C ha⁻¹ and the mean T/R ratio was found as 1.87 ± 0.01 (SE).

INTRODUCTION

Forest ecosystems cover the largest part of ice-free land surface among all terrestrial ecosystems. Trees, the main component of forest ecosystems, contain the largest stock or absolute quantity of the living forest biomass. Forests are important components of the terrestrial C cycle, and store large amounts of C in vegetation, detritus, and soil. Thus, C sequestration in sustainably managed forests can contribute to the drawdown of atmospheric CO₂ [1]. Mangrove forests inhabit the edge of tropical and subtropical seas. As cogently noted by Alfred Russel Wallace during his equatorial travels, mangrove forests are crucial occupiers of the boundary between land and sea, being key ecosystems along many tropical and subtropical coastlines. They are true ecotones, being not just transitional in nature, having some elements of terrestrial and marine ecosystems, but having ecological characteristics all their own.

Mangroves cover a vast area of tropical and subtropical coasts. They play a major role in supporting coastal food webs and nutrient cycles in the adjacent coastal ecosystems [2], [3]. The high groundwater level in the soils of mangroves [4] brings about unique processes in primary production and biomass allocation, so that they are quite different from other forms of forests. Although mangroves are distributed only in the tropical and subtropical coasts, they play a significant contribution in carbon sequestration, as they show a high belowground production and a huge amount of organic matter is accumulated in their substrates [5]-[7]. Despite their ecological and economic values, they are disappearing at alarming rates throughout the world, mainly owing to unsustainable exploitation and anthropogenic activities. The significance of mangroves is highly acknowledged, though the reasons for it vary among regions and many processes are inadequately understood.

Quantification of biomass and net primary production is fundamental to the understanding of ecosystem functions. Reliable estimates of mangrove forest primary production enable predictions to be made for planning and management options. Moreover, precise estimation of their biomass is necessary for evaluating the carbon stocking pattern in the tropical and subtropical coasts. Although some studies have been conducted on mangrove biomass estimation [8]-[10], the overall productivity of mangroves remains little known. The aboveground biomass of mangrove forests are widely reported [11]-[18], however, the belowground biomass is less focused [6], [19]. Although quantitative net primary productions of terrestrial forests are widely reported [20]-[27], only a few studies have focused on mangroves [10], [11], [14], [16], [28], [29].

The present study area, Manko Wetland is a brackish tidal flat, covering an extensive area at low tide. The Manko tidal flats are an important transit point for shorebird whose migration route brings them along the Nansei Islands. The tidal flat is registered with the Ministry of Environment, Japan, as one of the 12 important shorebirds visiting sites nationwide. Owing to its importance, it was registered as Ramsar Convention site in 1999. However, recent observation claims that the number of flying birds in Manko Wetland is reducing gradually and the mangrove area is increasing every year (Ministry of Environment, Japan). Considering mangrove area extension as a factor to reduce the number of flying birds, the Ministry of Environment has taken a mangrove cutting program over 50 m x 50 m area, so that they can monitor the impact of mangrove area on bird. As a part of that inventory, the present research work attempts to quantify the carbon acquisition of a *Kandelia obovata* stand.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site

This study was carried out at Manko Wetland (26°11' N and 127°40'E), in the southern part of Okinawa Island, Japan (Fig. 1). This wetland has been registered under the Ramsar Convention as an important stopover point and wintering area for migratory birds. On the basis of the data from 1997 to 2006 (Okinawa Astronomical Observatory), the warmth index was 220.4 ± 0.1 (SE) °C month, which is within the range of 180 to 240°C month of the subtropical region. The mean annual temperature was 23.4 ± 0.1°C; the mean monthly minimum temperature of 17.1 ± 0.3°C and the mean monthly maximum temperature of 28.8 ± 0.2°C occurred in January and July, respectively. The mean monthly rainfall was over 100 mm month⁻¹ throughout the

year and the mean annual rainfall was 2227 ± 163 (SE) mm yr^{-1} .

Tree census

Within the selected area (2500 m^2) of the Ministry of Environment, 1700 m^2 pure *K. obovata* close canopy stand was marked. The stand was subjected to self-thinning. The area was divided into 68 uniform plots of 5 m x 5 m each. A tree census was carried out in the 68 plots. Height (H , m) and diameter at 10% height ($D_{0.1H}$, cm) of all the trees were measured. The tree density, stand age, mean tree height and mean $D_{0.1H}$ were respectively 13588 ha^{-1} , 14 yr, 4.18 m and 6.13 cm (Table 1).




Fig. 1. Location map of the study area.

Table 1. General description of 68 plots (5 m x 5 m each and total 1700 m^2) in the *K. obovata* stand.

Parameter	Magnitude
Stand age	13 yr
Tree density	13588 ha^{-1}
Mean H	4.17 ± 0.05 (SE) m
Maximum H	6.92 m
Mean $D_{0.1H}$	5.83 ± 0.04 (SE) cm
Maximum $D_{0.1H}$	19.42 cm

Measurement of aboveground mass

Thirteen sample trees representing different size classes available in the whole Manko Wetland were harvested to measure the individual aboveground mass w_T (kg tree^{-1}). After the sample trees were felled, their H and $D_{0.1H}$ were measured, and then the leaves and branches were separated from the stem. The fresh weight was measured for the stem, branches and leaves. Samples of the stem, branches and leaves were taken for estimating the ratio of dry/fresh mass. All samples were dried in a ventilated oven at 85°C for 72 h and were desiccated at a room temperature, and then weighed. Finally w_T was calculated by summation. A data set of 12 trees from a previous paper [30] was added. As a result, data from 25 trees were used for the establishment of the allometric relationship. As shown in Fig. 2, the resultant allometric relationship was given in the form [31]:

$$w_T = 0.0341(D_{0.1H}^2 H)^{1.03} \quad (1)$$




Fig. 2. Allometric relationship of aboveground mass w_T to $D_{0.1H}^2 H$ of *Kandelia obovata* trees.

Measurement of belowground mass

Among 13 plants, harvested 5 plants were selected to measure the aboveground and belowground mass separately which were finally used to estimate belowground carbon acquisition following the relationship between aboveground and belowground mass. All the roots including fine roots were collected and washed carefully. Fresh weight was measured after all the root components (hard, soft and fine roots) were carefully cleaned. Samples from different types of root were taken to the laboratory, dried in a ventilated oven at 85°C for 72 h and were desiccated at a room temperature, and then weighed. Finally, belowground mass of individual trees were calculated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Frequency distribution of $D_{0.1H}^2 H$

The frequency density function ϕ of $D_{0.1H}^2 H$ for the *K. obovata* stand is assumed to be expressed by a Weibull density function:

$$\phi(D_{0.1H}^2 H) = \rho \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \cdot \left(\frac{D_{0.1H}^2 H}{\lambda} \right)^{\alpha-1} \cdot e^{-\left(\frac{D_{0.1H}^2 H}{\lambda} \right)^\alpha} \quad (\alpha, \lambda > 0) \quad (2)$$

where ρ is the stand density, and α and λ are constants. $D_{0.1H}^2 H$ yielded better allometric relationship than DBH. Hence $D_{0.1H}^2 H$ was used as an independent variable [29], [32], [33].

The values of α and λ were obtained using the relationship between $D_{0.1H}^2 H$ and the tree density of a partial population $N(D_{0.1H}^2 H)$, which is defined as follows,

$$N(D_{0.1H}^2 H) = \int_{D_{0.1H}^2 H}^{D_{0.1H}^2 H(\text{max})} \phi(D_{0.1H}^2 H) \cdot d(D_{0.1H}^2 H) \quad (3)$$




Fig. 3. Linear relationship between $\ln\{\rho / N(D_{0.1H}^2 H)\}$ and $D_{0.1H}^2 H$ on log-log coordinates, given by Eqn. (4).

Fig. 4. Frequency density of $D_{0.1H}^2 H$ in the *Kandelia obovata* stand. The smooth curve represents an approximation given by Eqn. (2).

where $N(D_{0.1H}^2 H)$ means the number of trees from the maximum size of $D_{0.1H}^2 H_{(\max)}$ to a given size of $D_{0.1H}^2 H$.

Inserting Eq. (2) into Eq. (3) and assuming $D_{0.1H}^2 H_{(\max)}$ to be infinite, the integral is led to

$$\ln\left(\ln\frac{\rho}{N(D_{0.1H}^2 H)}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^\alpha + \alpha \cdot \ln(D_{0.1H}^2 H) \quad (4)$$

As shown in Fig. 3, the relationship between $\ln\{\rho / N(D_{0.1H}^2 H)\}$ and $D_{0.1H}^2 H$ was linearly related on log-log coordinates. The slope and the intercept of the straight line correspond to α and $\ln(1/\lambda)^\alpha$, respectively. The estimated value of α and λ were 1.0124 and 199.71 $\text{cm}^2 \text{m}$, respectively.

The frequency distribution of $D_{0.1H}^2 H$ for the *K. obovata* stand is shown in Fig. 4.

Aboveground carbon estimation

The law of allometry applies to the relationship between the aboveground mass w_T (kg) and $D_{0.1H}^2 H$ ($\text{cm}^2 \text{m}$). This relationship can be written in the allometric equation, namely,

$$w_T = g \cdot (D_{0.1H}^2 H)^h \quad (5)$$

where g and h are coefficients. From Eqn. (1) the corresponding values were $3.41 \times 10^{-2} \text{ kg } (\text{cm}^2 \text{m})^{-h}$ and 1.03 respectively. Total amount of aboveground biomass y_T is expressed by the following integral,

$$y_T = \int_{D_{0.1H}^2 H_{(\min)}}^{D_{0.1H}^2 H_{(\max)}} w_T \cdot \phi(D_{0.1H}^2 H) \cdot d(D_{0.1H}^2 H) \quad (6)$$

Considering Eqns. (5) and (6), the result of the integral is given by the formula,

$$y_T = \rho \cdot g \cdot \lambda^h \cdot \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{h}{\alpha}\right) \quad (7)$$

where $D_{0.1H}^2 H_{(\min)}$ and $D_{0.1H}^2 H_{(\max)}$ are assumed to be zero and infinite, respectively. Based on the Eqn. (7), aboveground biomass was found as $109.28 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$ ($48.57 \text{ Mg C ha}^{-1}$).

Belowground carbon estimation

Based on 5 sample plants, the relationship between aboveground mass w_T and belowground mass w_R was well expressed through an allometry. As shown in Fig. 5, the resultant allometric relationship was given in the form:

$$w_R = 0.745(w_T)^{0.810} \quad (8)$$




Fig. 5. Allometric relationship between aboveground individual mass and belowground individual mass.

Putting the Eq. (1) into Eqn. (8), Eqn. (8) can be rewritten in the form:

$$w_R = 0.0483(D_{0.1H}^2 H)^{0.834} \quad (9)$$

The law of allometry applies to the relationship between the belowground mass w_R (kg) and $D_{0.1H}^2 H$ ($\text{cm}^2 \text{m}$). This relationship can be written in the allometric equation, namely,

$$w_R = g_1 \cdot (D_{0.1H}^2 H)^{h_1} \quad (10)$$

where g_1 and h_1 are coefficients. From Eqn. (9) the corresponding values were $4.83 \times 10^{-2} \text{ kg } (\text{cm}^2 \text{m})^{-h_1}$ and 0.834 respectively. Total amount of belowground biomass y_R is expressed by the following integral,

$$y_R = \int_{D_{0.1H}^2 H_{(\min)}}^{D_{0.1H}^2 H_{(\max)}} w_R \cdot \phi(D_{0.1H}^2 H) \cdot d(D_{0.1H}^2 H) \quad (11)$$

Considering Eqns. (10) and (11), the result of the integral is given by the formula,

$$y_R = \rho \cdot g_1 \cdot \lambda^h \cdot \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{h_1}{\alpha} \right)$$

(12)

where $D_{0,1H}^2 H_{(\min)}$ and $D_{0,1H}^2 H_{(\max)}$ are assumed to be zero and infinite, respectively.

Based on the Eqn. (12), belowground biomass was found as 51.08 Mg ha⁻¹ (22.70 Mg C ha⁻¹). Therefore, top and root ratio (T/R) was 1.87 ± 0.01 (SE).

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Lorenz R. Lal, *Carbon sequestration in forest ecosystems*. Springer. Dordrecht. 2009.
- [2] D.M. Alongi, F. Tirendi, B.F. Clough, "Below-ground decomposition of organic matter in forests of the mangroves *Rhizophora stylosa* and *Avicennia marina* along the arid coast of Western Australia," *Aquat. Bot.*, vol. 68, 2000, pp. 7–12.
- [3] F. Machiwa, R.O. Hallberg, "An empirical model of the fate of organic carbon in a mangrove forest partly affected by anthropogenic activity," *Ecol. Model.*, vol. 147, 2002, pp. 69–83.
- [4] T. Toma, K. Ogino, "Soil water movement of a mangrove forest in Halmahera Island, east Indonesia," *Tropics*, vol. 4, 1995, pp.187–200.
- [5] N. Saintilan, "Above- and below-ground biomass of mangroves in a sub-tropical estuary," *Mar. Freshw. Res.*, vol. 48, 1997, pp. 601–604.
- [6] A. Komiyama, S. Havanond, W. Srisawatt, Y. Mochida, K. Fujimoto, T. Ohnishi, S. Ishihara, T. Miyagi, "Top/root biomass ratio of a secondary mangrove (*Ceriops tagal* (Perr) CB Rob) forest," *For. Ecol. Manage.* 139, 2000, pp. 127–134.
- [7] M.N.I. Khan, R. Suwa, A. Hagihara, "Carbon and nitrogen pools in a mangrove stand of *Kandelia obovata* (S., L.) Yong: vertical distribution in the soil-vegetation system," *Wetlands Ecol. Manage.*, vol. 15, 2007, pp. 141–153.
- [8] A.E. Lugo, S.C. Snedaker, "The ecology of mangroves," *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, vol. 5, 1974, pp. 39–64.
- [9] P. Saenger, S.C. Snedaker, "Pantropical trends in mangrove above-ground biomass and annual litterfall," *Oecologia*, vol. 96, 1993, pp. 293–299.
- [10] M.S. Ross, P.L. Ruiz, G.J. Telesnicki, J.F. Meeder, "Estimating above-ground biomass and production in mangrove communities of Biscayne National Park, Florida (USA)," *Wetlands Ecol. Manage.*, vol. 9, 2001, pp. 27–37.
- [11] B. Christensen, "Biomass and primary productivity of *Rhizophora apiculata* Bl. in a mangrove of southern Thailand," *Aquat. Bot.* vol. 4, 1978, pp. 43–52.
- [12] E. Suzuki, H. Tagawa, "Biomass of a mangrove forest and a sedge marsh on Ishigaki Island, south Japan," *Jpn. J. Ecol.*, vol. 33, 1983, pp. 231–234.
- [13] S. Tamai, T. Nakasuga, R. Tabuchi, K. Ogino, "Standing biomass of mangrove forests in southern Thailand," *J. Jpn. For. Soc.*, vol. 68, 1986, pp. 384–388.
- [14] J.W. Day, W.H. Conner, F. Ley-Lou, R.H. Day, A.M. Navarro, "The productivity and composition of mangrove forests, Laguna de Términos, Mexico," *Aquat. Bot.*, vol. 27, 1987, pp. 267–284.
- [15] B.F. Clough, K. Scott, Allometric relationships for estimating aboveground biomass in six mangrove species," *For. Ecol. Manage.*, vol. 27, 1989, pp. 117–127.
- [16] S.Y. Lee, "Primary productivity and particulate organic matter flow in an estuarine mangrove-wetland in Hong Kong," *Mar. Biol.*, vol. 106, 1990, pp. 453–463.
- [17] C. Kusmana, S. Sabiham, K. Abe, H. Watanabe, "An estimation of above ground tree biomass of a mangrove forest in East Sumatra, Indonesia," *Tropics*, vol. 1, 1992, pp. 243–257.
- [18] M.L.G. Soares, Y. Schaeffer-Novelli, "Above-ground biomass of mangrove species. I. analysis of models," *Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci.*, vol. 65, 2005, pp. 1–18.
- [19] A. Komiyama, K. Ogino, S. Aksornkoae, S. Sabhasri, "Root biomass of a mangrove forest in southern Thailand," *J. Trop. Ecol.*, vol. 3, 1987, pp. 97–108.
- [20] D.W. Coble, K.S. Milner, J.D. Marshall, "Above- and below-ground production of trees and other vegetation on contrasting aspects in western Montana: a case study," *For. Ecol. Manage.*, vol. 142, 2001, pp. 231–241.
- [21] B.J. Enquist, K.J. Niklas, "Global allocation rules for patterns of biomass partitioning across seed plants," *Science*, vol. 295, 2002, pp. 1517–1520.
- [22] H. Tanabe, T. Nakano, M. Mimura, Y. Abe, S. Mariko, "Biomass and net primary production of a *Pinus densiflora* forest established on a lava flow of Mt. Fuji in central Japan," *J. For. Res.*, vol. 8, 2003, pp. 247–252.
- [23] R. Tateno, T. Hishi, H. Takeda, "Above- and below-ground biomass and net primary production in a cool-temperate deciduous forest in relation to topographical changes in soil nitrogen," *For. Ecol. Manage.*, vol. 193, 2004, pp. 297–306.
- [24] M. Zhao, G. Zhou, "Estimation of biomass and net primary productivity of major planted forests in China based on forest inventory data," *For. Ecol. Manage.*, vol. 207, 2005, pp. 295–313.
- [25] G.S. Newman, M.A. Arthur, R.N. Muller, "Above- and below-ground net primary production in a temperate mixed deciduous forest," *Ecosystems*, vol. 9, 2006, pp. 317–329.
- [26] D. Cheng, K.J. Niklas, "Above- and below-ground biomass relationships across 1534 forested communities," *Ann. Bot.*, vol. 99, 2007, pp. 95–102.
- [27] M. Ozalp, W.H. Conner, B.G. Lockaby, "Above-ground productivity and litter decomposition in a tidal freshwater forested wetland on Bull Island, SC, USA," *For. Ecol. Manage.*, vol. 245, 2007, pp. 31–43.
- [28] J.W. Day, C. Coronado-Molina, F.R. Vera-Herrera, R. Twilley, V.H. Rivera-Monroy, H. Alvarez-Guillen, R. Day, W. Conner, "A 7 year record of above-ground net primary production in a southeastern Mexican mangrove forest," *Aquat. Bot.*, vol.55, 1996, pp.39–60.
- [29] M.N.I. Khan, R. Suwa, A. Hagihara, "Biomass and aboveground net primary production in a subtropical mangrove stand of *Kandelia obovata* (S., L.) Yong at Manko Wetland, Okinawa, Japan," *Wetland Ecol. Manage.*, vol.17, 2009, pp. 585–599.
- [30] M.N.I. Khan, R. Suwa, A. Hagihara, "Allometric relationships for estimating the aboveground phytomass and leaf area of mangrove *Kandelia candel* (L.) Druce trees in the Manko Wetland, Okinawa Island, Japan," *Trees*, vol. 19, 2005, pp. 266–272.
- [31] A.T.M.R. Hoque, S. Sharma, R. Suwa, S. Mori, A. Hagihara, "Seasonal variation in the size-dependent respiration of mangroves *Kandelia obovata*," *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, vol. 404, 2010, pp. 31–37.
- [32] M.N.I. Khan, "Production ecological studies on a pioneer mangrove *Kandelia candel* (L.) Druce stand at Manko Wetland, Okinawa Island," Ph. D. Thesis. University of the Ryukyus, Japan. 2005.
- [33] A.T.M.R. Hoque, "Size-dependence of aboveground respiration of mangrove trees in manko Wetland," MS Thesis. University of the Ryukyus, Japan. 2007.